G. R. S. 58]

Previous references. (1924 C.B) C.B **File No.** 279-22

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Four

Part B for April 1925

SUBJECT

Petition from B. Sitaramiah Chikmankanahalli making certain allegations of corruption against the Amildar of Chikmankanahalli Taluk

To

The Revenue

No. R1622 dt. 5.10.23

Points out that it was irregular to have made the purchase except from a regular dealer

17.4.25

C. B. 279-22.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

C.B.D. no 2311

Sl 1.

Petition from B. Sitaramaiya Chikmayakanhalli, d 16.4.23.

Makes certain allegations of corruption against the Amildar of Chikmayakanhalli Taluk.

Under B.M. Rule 13 in

Desire's order:

"R.C. for confl. enquiry through D.C. & report."

(Sd) A.R.B. 17.4.23.

Draft submitted.

Rev. Secy. / Ch. Secy. 19.4.23. U/f 19/4/23

Sl 2.

To The Rev. Com^r, D.O. no 5055 & 23.4.23

Forwards the petition for confl. enquiry through the Dy. Com^r, Tumkur Dist^t and report.

Sl 3.

From The Rev. Com^r, D.O. no C.3 554/22-23 & 26.7.23

States that there is no truth in the allegations made in the petition & that no further notice need be taken of it.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C.B. File No. 379 of 1922.

Serial No.

Submitted for orders.
Sgnt hm
31.7.23.

This is a pseudonymous petn. containing ~~Vade~~ various allegations of bribery etc against Mr Kanapa Velan, al Arulan, Chockanagathanelli. The R.C reports that the charges are baseless. And this finding may be accepted.

The legal objection that the Arulan purchased grain in Pakel at Madanamadawa 100 seers of Jagare for Rs 12, though the market price was only Rs 9. Even this was irregular, for the Arulan could have purchased Jaggeri from a regular dealer instead of from a subordinate of his like the Vedel. The Arulan lives at Chockanagathanelli And he need not have purchased Jaggeri at Malagamadawa.

Sgd/ I agree 31/7/23
The matter should be
dealt
Sgd. 1/8/23

Letter Draft Libd

Sgd
4/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No.	of 19

Serial No.	
4	No 1622 dt 5/10/23 To the Re Points out it was irregular to have made the purchase except from a regular dealers.

B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Rev Secy's note
Compliments Chicknayakenhalli CR
17/4 (C.B. 279-12-81) Date 16-4-23
CB 2311
To. The Dewan Sahib,
Re/or. (Pet in mysore)
Hon'ble Sir Petn. No 621
Conf (report) 17.4.23.
The Amildar Chicknayakenhalli has Collected 450 Rs for the Taluq Conference from the poor Patels

(2) There are three records relating to the Patel of Madenmadu Village, in which he has deceived Govt and also the Public The Police have submitted report against the Patel's Conduct &
The Amildar took 150/- R and 450 Seers of Togari so from the Patel and has the receipts

3 The Amildar was troubling the Patel of Sarala marad for 3 or 4 Coors. The poor patel thinking that the Amil may think otherwise and sent 2 Coors

4 Though there were lot of Takrar Petitions and materil irregularities in the Sale of Sur No 80 of Balakere Amildar took 50 Rs and Sanctioned the Sale

All the above facts are here If your honor Kindly enquired in to the mater with reference to the record

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Honor will understand
the natures and Conduct
of this Officer
I beg to remain
Respected Sir
B Sitaramiya
Resident
Chikmanga Konhalli

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be cipoed, or slips pasted on this form

5

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to

Confl.

Section C. B.

File No. 279-22

Serial No 2.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

D.O No. 5033 / C.B. / Genl.

Date of issue 23/4/1923

Signed by Sri. T. E. Jayaram Dyer.

~~To~~

Dear Sir,

I am desired to forward herewith for favour of confidential enquiry through the Dy. Comr, Tumkur Dist, and report ~~an anonymous~~ a petition from one B. Sitaramaiya making certain allegations of corruption against the Amildar, Chiknayakanhalli Taluk.

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/- 19/4/23

for Rev. Secy

~~over.~~

Confl. do

To K. Chandy Esq B.A.

Rev. Comr.

Confdl

6

D.O. 5548/CB. dt 16/6/23

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference to my D.O. no 5055/C.B. dt 23rd April 23 re certain allegations of corruption against the Amildar, Chikkanayakanhalli Taluk; and to request that the report called for therein may be kindly obtained from the Dy. Comr Tumkur Distt. and submitted to Govt at a very early date.

Yours sincerely,

Rev Secretary.

To. Chandy Esqr, B.A.
Rev. Comr

Dmdt reminder submitted.
dt 16/6/23

CONFIDENTIAL. W/ 28/7/23 7

Office of the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,

D.O. No C 3524/22-23. Dated Bangalore, the 26th July 1923.

CR 158

Dear Sir, C.B. 279 - 22 - 8. 3.

With reference to your D.O. No.5055/C.B. dated 23rd April 1923 forwarding for enquiry through the Deputy Commissioner and report, a petition from one B. Sitaramaiya making certain allegations of corruption against Mr. B.M. Rangiah Naidu, Amildar of Chickanaikanahalli Taluk,

I beg to forward herewith, for the perusal of Government, the marginally noted three vernacular files in the matter received with Tumkur Deputy Commissioner's letter No. H.C.58 dated 22nd July 1923, and to state as follows:--

(1) File relating to the enquiries made on the allegations against the Amildar.

(2) File relating to the sale of S.No.101 of Madanamaduvu.

(3) File relating to the sale of S.No.97 of the Madanamaduvu village.

2. The petition is clearly a pseudonymous one and from the Sub Division Officer's detailed report, enclosed in the records, it is seen that the allegations made therein are all false.

3. The first allegation made in the petition is that the Amildar collected a sum of Rs.450/- from the ryots on account of the cattle-show. On the other hand, it is reported that only a sum of Rs.90/- was collected from only 45 out of the 230 villages of the Taluk at Rs.2/- per village. The Sub Division Officer is also said to have remarked at the Taluk Conference and cow-show over which he presided, that no breeding-bull could be awarded at the Chicknaikanahalli cow-show on account of the small collection of Rs.90/-, and the statement is reported to have gone unchallenged.

4. The second allegation is that the Amildar in spite of the Police-report against the Patel of Madanamaduvu

8

filed the records without taking any action in consideration of a bribe of Rs.150/- and 450 seers of Thogari. It is clear from the Sub Division Officer's report that the present Amildar, Mr. Rangiah Naidu, did not take ~~had nothing to do~~ with the Madanamaduvu Pateli case. In one case, the Patel was fined Rs.2/- and the Amildar, Mr. Makalappa, remarked in October 1919 that the patel being only a gumasta, he might be recommended for removal. But, no action was taken on this although Mr. Makalappa remained in the Taluk till almost the end of 1922. In another case relating to the theft of jack-fruits, the Police Inspector reported to the Amildar in August 1922 that the Patel had delayed reporting the occurrence of the theft and that consequently the Police were obliged to submit a 'B' report. Mr. Makalappa ordered that the previous references relating to the Patel might be put up and a report made recommending removal of the man altogether. But no further action was taken by Mr. Makalappa. In a third case relating to the sale of certain land of Madanamaduvu village, the Sub Division Officer directed the Amildar in October 1922 to take notice of the irregular procedure adopted by the patel in the case. The file was sent to the Sheikdar for needful action re: cancellation of the sale and was returned to the Taluk office during the time of the present Amildar. But, the file was not brought to the notice of the Amildar at all and was ordered by the Sheristedar to be filed. It will thus be seen that there are no grounds for suspecting the Amildar of corruption in this case. In fact it is reported that the Patel was in some connection fined Rs.2/- during the time of the present Amildar. As regards the allegation that the Amildar took 450 seers of Thogari from the patel, it is reported that the Amildar actually purchased 100 seers of Thogari from the patel who insisted upon the payment of Rs.12/- per palla although the shandy rate was only Rs.9/- per palla. This allegation too seems to be frivolous.

100

9

5. The third of the allegations is that the Amildar got two cows from the patel of Sorlamavu giving him a lot of trouble, but the Sub Division Officer has reported that from confidential enquiries, he learnt that no cows were supplied to the Amildar by the Patel of Sorlamavu.

6. As regards the last allegation that the Amildar in consideration of an illegal gratification of Rs 50/- sanctioned the sale of S.No.80 of Ballakere though there were a lot of irregularities in the sale, it is reported that the grant of the land was sanctioned not by the Amildar, but by the Sub Division Officer. The highest bid in the sale was for Rs 80/- from the darkhastdar himself, but as the malki had been estimated at Rs 123-14-0, the Amildar recommended that the sale should be cancelled, but that the land ~~may~~ might be granted to the darkhastdar who was willing to pay the malki value. A takrar petition also from one Range Gowda offering Rs 10 more than the malki value was also submitted to the Sub Division Officer for orders. But, the Sub Division Officer chose to grant the land to the darkhastdar.

7. It will thus appear that there is no truth in the allegations made in the petition, and I am of opinion that no further notice need be taken of it.

Yours sincerely,
K Chandy

T.E. Jeyarama Aiyar Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Secretary to Government.

10

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

Govt

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 5. 10. 23

Sd/- D. Lo... Subbarao Asst Sec

Section C5

File No. 279-22

Serial No 4

No. R 1622

En 3 files

To The Rev: Comm

Sir,

Adverting to your Confidential

D.O. No C 3524 & 26. 7. 23 submitting

a report regarding Mr B. M.

Ranganath Naidu Amildar of

Chikmagalur, I am

directed to state that the

record discloses that the

Amildar purchased from the

patel of Madanamadavu

over.

100 seers of Tafsi for Rs 12/ though
the market price was only Rs 9/ I
to point out that even this was
irregular, as the Amildar could
have purchased the ~~Com~~ Tafsi
required from a regular dealer
instead of from a subordinate
of his like Mr Patel.

The records received with
your letter are returned herewith

Yours &c

W. H. Wills

Asst Rev. Secy

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by